

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/840,040	04/24/2001	Kok Kiong Tan	1781-0224P	1781-0224P 4272	
2292	7590 09/25/2002				
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH EXAMINER			INER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUF	RCH, VA 22040-0747		JONES, JUDSON		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W					
(A)	Application No.		applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/840,040		AN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	<i>A</i>	Art Unit				
	Judson H Jones		834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover s	neet with the cor	responaence adaress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	— · s action is non-fina	al					
,			recution as to the morite	ic			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-8</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirem	ent.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	<del></del>		` '				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			(d) (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☑ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •						
Attachment(s)	-	:: <del>-</del>					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4</li> </ol>	5) 🔲 N		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/840,040

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Drawings

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. See Applicant's specification page 5 lines 4 and 5.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 09/840,040

Art Unit: 2834

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stuntz et al. Stuntz et al. figure 2 discloses a control system for controlling a plant P (54) with feedback C (50) and feed-forward L (52) control systems having a demand signal W<sub>d</sub> applied to both the feedback and the feed-forward control systems and both outputs summed together to generate the plant input where the feed-forward control function T<sub>e</sub> has a first component which is a function of a model of the linear component of the plant characteristic and has a second component which is an adaptive function to compensate for the non-linear component of the plant characteristic as described in column 3 line 49 to column 4 line 35. While Stuntz et al. ignores the linear component of torque in his system as described in column 4 lines 19-34, that linear component does exist and it does satisfy the claim language for "a first component which is a function of a model of the linear component of plant characteristic." As for the adaptive function of the non-linear component which approaches the non-linear component of the plant characteristic, see Stuntz et al. column 5 line 14 to column 6 line 13, particularly column 5 lines 58-67.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not disclose or teach the non-linear component of the plant characteristic having the form as shown in the formula recited in claim 2. The prior art of record

also does not disclose or teach a control system as claimed for a permanent magnet linear motor as recited in claim 3. Stuntz et al. is concerned with eliminating disturbances to a combustion engine crankshaft while the instant invention is concerned with eliminating disturbances to a permanent magnet linear motor, which is why the non-linear components are different.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judson H Jones whose telephone number is 703-308-0115. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

JHI / ( September 22, 2002

Julian Jones Ali 2834